Cont	tractor:			
Date Modif	this was Last fied:			
Year	Being Rated:		Project office:	
Cont	tract:		Job No.	
Rout	te:		County:	
Distr	rict:		Contract Letting Date:	
Notio	ce to Proceed:		Contract Completion Date:	
Cont	tractor Vendor No:		Federal Project Number:	
Perce	ent Complete:		Original Contract Amount:	
Final	l Contract Amount:		Total Dollars Paid To Date:	
Total	l Dollars of Mobiliza	tion Paid This Calendar Year:		
Total	l Dollars of Miscellar	neous Payments Paid This Year:		
Total	l Dollars of Deductio	ns Charges This Year:		
	S	Section 100 - General Pro	visions	
1		records were written this construction	tion season for Section 100	contract document violation?
	Did the control to	Equation: -(6*n)	-Carry March	
2		r provide the engineer with letters ing any blasting operations? (Co		
	O N/A	O NO (-2)	O YES	6 (No deduct)
3		otices of Violation were issued this iance - Environment) Instruction Equation: -(3*n+3^n)		ean Water Act violations?
4		or's proposed primary sources of ntract Administration) Instruction		fore the preconstruction
	○ N/A	○ NO (-2)	○ YES	6 (No deduct)
5	Were paid invoice Administration)	s for material allowances received Instruction	within the time limit specifi	ied in the contract? (Contract
	O _{N/A}	O <50% (-2)	○ 50-	99% (-1)
	○ 100% (No d	educt)		
6		r submit certified copies of payrolls istration) Instruction	s within one week of the lim	nit specified in the contract?
	O _{N/A}	○ <90% (-2)	○ 90-	99% (-1)
	O 100% (No d	educt)		
7		ge interviews indicate that the con Contract Compliance) Instruction		vith prevailing wage

	○ N/A	O <90% (-2)	O 90-99% (-1)
8	U 100% (No deduct)	ect? (Contract Administration)	Instruction
Ü	○ N/A	O <90% (-2)	O 90-99% (-1)
	100% (No deduct)	○ <90% (-2)	○ 90-99% (-1)
9	Did the contractor expediently Instruction	correct errors on payrolls when	notified? (Contract Administration)
	○ N/A ○ 100% (No deduct)	O <90% (-2)	O 90-99% (-1)
10	,		work and maintained during the course of the
	O No (-2)	○Yes	(No deduct)
11		ned and / or revised subcontract	or requests prior to the subcontracted work
	○ N/A	O NO (-2)	YES (No deduct)
12	Did the DBE subcontractors po (Contract Compliance - DBE		the contract? (Completed for Final Report Only)
	O N/A	O NO (-2)	OYES (No deduct)
13	Did the contractor meet the re Compliance - DBE) Instruction		oleted for Final Report Only) (Contract
	O N/A	O NO (-2)	OYES (No deduct)
14	Were the required trainee rep the job? (Contract Administr		ithin the time limit for all approved trainees on
	○ N/A ○ 100% (No deduct)	O<90% (-2)	O 90-99% (-1)
15		constructed as designated in the	e contract? (Contract Compliance -
	○ N/A	O NO (-2)	YES (No deduct)
16	Were temporary stream cross (Contract Compliance - Envir		ed in compliance with the Clean Water Act.
	○ N/A	O NO (-2)	OYES (No deduct)
17	Did the contractor keep equip (Contract Compliance - Envir		clands) designated not to be disturbed?
	○ N/A	O NO (-2)	YES (No deduct)
18			r to work in streams or wetland which will imits? (Contract Compliance - Environmental)
	○ N/A	O NO (-2)	YES (No deduct)
19	Progress Schedule: Was the p (Initial Report Only) (Prosect		r to or at the preconstruction conference?
	○ N/A	O NO (-2)	O YES (No deduct)
20	number of working days, cale	ndar days or other increments s	how project completion within the specified et forth in the contract with the major item of ? (Prosecution/Progress) Instruction
	O N/A	O NO (-5)	OYES (No deduct)

	○ N/A	O NO (-2)	OYES (No deduct)
	Did the contractor provide a final requested? (Prosecution/Progre		gress schedule within 7 days when required
	○ N/A	O NO (-2)	OYES (No deduct)
١	Was an authorized representative (Prosecution/Progress) Instruc		the life of the project?
	○ N/A	0-74% (-4)	O 75-84% (-3)
	O 85-94% (-2)	O 95-99% (-1)	\bigcirc 100% (No deduct)
	Did the contractor exercise caution	on around construction stake:	s installed by MoDOT? (Contract Compliance
	O N/A	O <90 (-2)	O 90-99% (-1)
	0 100% (No deduct)	,	,
	Were the contractor's personnel radministration) Instruction	readily available when called	for non-working hour emergencies? (Contra
	O _{N/A}	O<90 (-4)	O 90-99% (-2)
	\bigcirc 100% (No deduct)		
	Did the contractor satisfactorily r	emove, maintain, and relocat	te signs and markers? (Contract Complianc
	O _{N/A}	O <90 (-2)	O 90-99% (-1)
	\bigcirc 100% (No deduct)		
	Did the contractor provide the effort		complaints in a positive, prompt and
	○ N/A	O <90 (-2)	O 90-99% (-1)
L	\bigcirc 100% (No deduct)		
١	What are the total weighted time	table points used? (Prosect	ution/Progress) Instruction
	Jsing the weighted timetable, ho	w many total points are allov	ved for the project? (Prosecution/Progress)
١	What percentage of the project is 99.78	completed? (Prosecution/F	Progress) Instruction
	If there were Disincentive/Milesto Damages for failing to meet cont		project enter the \$ Disincentive/Liquidated ecution/Progress) Instruction
	Did the contractor submit a Safet instruction	ry Plan in accordance with the	e contract? (Contract Administration)
	○ No (-8)	○Yes	(No deduct)
e		hould be a part of the safety	, and responded if called, for non-working ho plan received from the contractor, including
	O No (-4)	○Yes	(No deduct)
	Did the contractor (including all s	subcontractors) experience a opened on the project? (Cor	worker fatality or fatalities on the project or ntract Compliance) Instruction
[ater as a result of injury that hap		•

○ <95% (-4)	O 95-99% (-2)	○ >9	9% (2)
	ding all subcontractors) exper nedical services? (Contract C		the project requiring
○ No (No deduct) ○ Yes - Repeated (-8)	O Yes - Serious ((-4) O Ye	s - Willful (-6)
Did the contractor follow	the provisions of their Safety	Plan? (Prosecution/Pro	ogress) Instruction
○ No (-5)		OYes (No deduct)	
	an active role in monitoring th (Prosecution/Progress) Instr		and addressing safety conc
O No (-5)		OYes (No deduct)	
Did the contractor utilize	non-certified flagger/s? (Pro	osecution/Progress) Ins	struction
O No (No deduct)		○ Yes (-3)	
Did the contractor (include (Prosecution/Progress)	ding subs) damage any utilitie Instruction	es as a result of no locato	e services being requested?
O No (No deduct)		○ Yes (-4)	
Did the contractor perfor Compliance) Instruction	m flagging procedures in acco า	ordance with the MUTCD	and the contract? (Contra
O <90% (-4)	O 90-99% (-2)	○ >9	9% (2)
	worker awareness, vehicle la ecution/Progress) Instruction		nnologies or other measures
O No (No deduct)		O Yes (3)	
	ction 200 - Earthwor	•	
	=00 =005 00p.000		
violation? (Quality) In Equa	tion: -(6*n)		
violation? (Quality) In Equal Did the contractor give p demolishing a building, r	struction	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or plugg	of Natural Resources prior
violation? (Quality) In Equation Did the contractor give p demolishing a building, r	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrou	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or plugo tion	of Natural Resources prior
violation? (Quality) In Equation Equation Did the contractor give posterior give posterior give posterior give posterior give posterior give posterior equation Equat	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrou ance - Environment) Instruc NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste as	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or plugo tion	of Natural Resources prior ging/closure of a well or september of a well or september of the control of the con
violation? (Quality) In Equation Equation Did the contractor give posterior give posterior give posterior give posterior give posterior give posterior equation Equat	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrou ance - Environment) Instruc NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste as	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or pluggition YE	of Natural Resources prior ging/closure of a well or september of a well or september of the control of the con
violation? (Quality) In Equation Equation Did the contractor give possible demolishing a building, respectively. N/A Did the contractor proper Compliance - Environment	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrou ance - Environment) Instruc NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste an nt) Instruction	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or pluge tion YE YE YE	of Natural Resources prior ging/closure of a well or september of a well or september of the september of th
violation? (Quality) In Equation Equation Did the contractor give possible demolishing a building, respectively. N/A Did the contractor proper Compliance - Environment	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrou ance - Environment) Instruc NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste an nt) Instruction NO (-2)	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or pluge tion YE YE YE	of Natural Resources prior ging/closure of a well or september of a well or september of the september of th
violation? (Quality) In Equation Equation Did the contractor give possible demolishing a building, rotank? (Contract Compliance) N/A Did the contractor proper Compliance - Environment N/A Did the contractor's oper	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrou ance - Environment) Instruc NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste an nt) Instruction NO (-2) ations produce the required e	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or pluggition YE YE YE The provide necessary do YE The provide necessary do YE The provide necessary do	of Natural Resources prior ging/closure of a well or september of a well or september of the september of th
violation? (Quality) In Equal Did the contractor give p demolishing a building, r tank? (Contract Complia N/A Did the contractor proper Compliance - Environment N/A Did the contractor's oper N/A 061%-70% (-40) 91%-94% (-20)	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrou ance - Environment) Instruc NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste an nt) Instruction NO (-2) ations produce the required e	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or pluggition YE The provide necessary do YE	s of Natural Resources prior sing/closure of a well or september of the s
violation? (Quality) In Equation Equation Did the contractor give possible demolishing a building, rotank? (Contract Compliant) N/A Did the contractor proper Compliance - Environment N/A Did the contractor's oper N/A O61%-70% (-40) O91%-94% (-20) O100% (No deduct) Did the contractor use proper contractor us	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrous ance - Environment) NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste and instruction NO (-2) ations produce the required ending are selected as a selected and selected are selected as a selected as	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or pluggition YE The provide necessary do YE The pro	c of Natural Resources prior ging/closure of a well or septing/closure of a well or septing/closure of a well or septing/closure of a well or septing cumentation? (Contract S (No deduct) ensity? (Quality) Instruct 84%-90% (-25) 99% (-5)
violation? (Quality) In Equal Did the contractor give p demolishing a building, r tank? (Contract Complia N/A Did the contractor proper Compliance - Environmen N/A Did the contractor's oper N/A Did the contractor's oper N/A O 61%-70% (-40) O 91%-94% (-20) O 100% (No deduct) Did the contractor use pr (Quality) Instruction	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrous ance - Environment) NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste annt) NO (-2) rations produce the required e <50% (-50) 71%-76% (-35) 95%-96% (-15) roper lift thicknesses and benother the content of th	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or pluggition YE The provide necessary do YE The pro	c of Natural Resources prior of ging/closure of a well or septency of a well or septency of the septency of th
violation? (Quality) In Equal Did the contractor give p demolishing a building, r tank? (Contract Complia N/A Did the contractor proper Compliance - Environmer N/A Did the contractor's oper N/A Did the contractor's oper N/A 061%-70% (-40) 091%-94% (-20) 100% (No deduct) Did the contractor use pr (Quality) Instruction	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer as emoving asbestos, undergrous ance - Environment) NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste annt) NO (-2) rations produce the required ending and ending and ending and ending and ending as a second ending ending as a second ending en	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or pluggition YE And provide necessary do YE Sombankment/subgrade d 50%-60% (-45) 77%-83% (-30) 97%-98% (-10) Ching procedures in the companion of the companio	c of Natural Resources prior signing/closure of a well or septions of the seption
violation? (Quality) In Equal Did the contractor give p demolishing a building, r tank? (Contract Complia N/A Did the contractor proper Compliance - Environmen N/A Did the contractor's oper N/A Did the contractor's oper N/A O 61%-70% (-40) O 91%-94% (-20) O 100% (No deduct) Did the contractor use pr (Quality) Instruction	struction tion: -(6*n) roper notice to the engineer a emoving asbestos, undergrous ance - Environment) NO (-2) rly dispose of project waste annt) NO (-2) rations produce the required e <50% (-50) 71%-76% (-35) 95%-96% (-15) roper lift thicknesses and benother the content of th	and Missouri Department nd storage tank or pluggition YE The provide necessary do YE The pro	c of Natural Resources prior of ging/closure of a well or septency of a well or septency of the septency of th

			-
○ N/A	○<90% (-10	0) 90	%-99% (-5)
O 100% (No deduct)			
Did the contractor submi (Contract Administratio		at least 14 days before roo	k- drilling operations began
○ N/A	O NO (-2)	○ YE	S (No deduct)
Did the contractor perfor (Contract Compliance)		manner to avoid damage t	o public or private property
○ N/A	O<90% (-10	0) 090	%-99% (-5)
○ 100% (No deduct)			
Did the contractor furnish Administration) Instruc		, and seismic monitoring d	ata? (Contract
○ N/A	○ NO (-2)	○ YE	S (No deduct)
Continue 200	Pages and A	unto Cunfocco	
	- Bases andAggreg		
Total Dollars of Section	n 300 Items Completed	This Rating Years: 0	
(Quality) Instruction Equa	tion: -(6*n)		Quality specification violat
(Quality) Instruction	ity tests on aggregate/ roci	k /stabilized permeable bas	ses within specification limit
○ N/A	O <50% (-50)	O 50%-60% (-45)	
O 61%-70% (-40)	O 71%-76% (-35)	O 77%-83% (-30)	O 84%-90% (-25)
91%-94% (-20)	O 95%-96% (-15)	O 97%-98% (-10)	O 99% (-5)
91%-94% (-20) 100% (No deduct)	O 95%-96% (-15)	O 97%-98% (-10)	O 99% (-5)
O 100% (No deduct)	. ,	97%-98% (-10)	
O 100% (No deduct)	. ,		
0 100% (No deduct)	rial for rock base comply w	ith the specifications? (Qu	
O 100% (No deduct) Oid the 2" capping mater N/A	rial for rock base comply w	ith the specifications? (Qu	uality) Instruction
Oid the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20)	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18)	ith the specifications? (Quantum 75%-79% (-23) 87%-89% (-15)	uality) Instruction 0 90%-92% (-13)
Oid the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct)	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18) 95%-96% (-8)	ith the specifications? (Quantum 75%-79% (-23) 87%-89% (-15)	O 90%-92% (-13)
Oid the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct) Were the final grades of	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18) 95%-96% (-8)	ith the specifications? (Qt	O 90%-92% (-13)
0 100% (No deduct) 0 oid the 2" capping mater 0 N/A 0 80%-82% (-20) 0 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct) 0 Vere the final grades of instruction	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18) 95%-96% (-8) the rock/aggregate base in	ith the specifications? (Qu	O 90%-92% (-13)
O 100% (No deduct) Oid the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct) Were the final grades of instruction N/A	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18) 95%-96% (-8) the rock/aggregate base in <75% (-15)	ith the specifications? (Quantum 75%-79% (-23)	uality) Instruction 90%-92% (-13) 99% (-3) cifications? (Quality)
Oid the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct) Were the final grades of instruction N/A 80%-82% (-12)	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18) 95%-96% (-8) the rock/aggregate base in <75% (-15) 83%-86% (-11)	ith the specifications? (Quality of the specifications) (-15) (-14) (-	uality) Instruction 90%-92% (-13) 99% (-3) cifications? (Quality)
O 100% (No deduct) Oid the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct) Were the final grades of instruction N/A 80%-82% (-12) 93%-94% (-6) 100% (No deduct)	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18) 95%-96% (-8) the rock/aggregate base in <75% (-15) 83%-86% (-11) 95%-96% (-5)	ith the specifications? (Quality of the specifications) (-15) (-14) (-	uality) Instruction 90%-92% (-13) 99% (-3) cifications? (Quality)
O 100% (No deduct) Oid the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct) Were the final grades of instruction N/A 80%-82% (-12) 93%-94% (-6) 100% (No deduct)	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18) 95%-96% (-8) the rock/aggregate base in <75% (-15) 83%-86% (-11) 95%-96% (-5)	ith the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specifications) (-15)	uality) Instruction 90%-92% (-13) 99% (-3) cifications? (Quality)
100% (No deduct) Did the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct) Were the final grades of instruction N/A 80%-82% (-12) 93%-94% (-6) 100% (No deduct) Did QC/QA results compa	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18) 95%-96% (-8) the rock/aggregate base in <75% (-15) 83%-86% (-11) 95%-96% (-5) are favorably? (Quality)	ith the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specification) (-15)	uality) Instruction 90%-92% (-13) 99% (-3) cifications? (Quality)
Oid the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct) Were the final grades of instruction N/A 80%-82% (-12) 93%-94% (-6) 100% (No deduct) Did QC/QA results company	rial for rock base comply w	ith the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specifications) (-15) (-15) (-15) (-16) (-14)	uality) Instruction 90%-92% (-13) 99% (-3) cifications? (Quality) 90%-92% (-8) 99% (-2)
Oid the 2" capping mater N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct) Were the final grades of instruction N/A 80%-82% (-12) 93%-94% (-6) 100% (No deduct) Oid QC/QA results company N/A 61%-70% (-20)	rial for rock base comply w <75% (-25) 83%-86% (-18) 95%-96% (-8) the rock/aggregate base in <75% (-15) 83%-86% (-11) 95%-96% (-5) are favorably? (Quality) <50% (-25) 71%-76% (-18)	ith the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specifications? (Quality of the specifications) (-15) (-15) (-15) (-16) (-14) (-14) (-15) (-14) (-15) (-14) (-15) (-14) (-15)	uality) Instruction 90%-92% (-13) 99% (-3) cifications? (Quality) 90%-92% (-8) 99% (-2)

O 100% (No deduct)			
Section	1 400 - Flexible Pav	ements	
	n 400 Items Completed		
	•	_) Quality specification violatio
·	tion: -(6*n)		
Were samples of compac specifications or as agree	ted bituminous mixtures deed to by the engineer? (Co	elivered to the engineer in ontract Compliance) Instr	accordance with the uction
○ N/A ○ 100% (No deduct)	○ <90% (-6)	○ 90	-99% (-3)
	tial profiler files furnished to tt Compliance) Instruction		ce with Section 610
O N/A	○<90% (-8)	O 90	-99% (-4)
O 100% (No deduct)			
	material certifications for 9 k? (Contract Administrati		I prior to the material being
○ N/A	O <90% (-6)	O 90	-99% (-3)
O 100% (No deduct)			
Did QC/QA results compa	are favorably? (Quality)	Instruction	
○ N/A	O <50% (-25)	O 50%-60% (-23)	
O 61%-70% (-20)	O 71%-76% (-18)	O 77%-83% (-15)	O 84%-90% (-13)
O 91%-94% (-10)	O 95%-96% (-8)	O 97%-98% (-5)	O 99% (-3)
○ 100% (No deduct)			
\$ All Asphalt deductions	this construction season	Instruction	
	 phalt this construction seas	son (Quality) Instruction	1
1.80 What percentage of dens	ity / compaction tests met	specification requirements	? (Quality) Instruction
O N/A	O <75% (-25)	O 75%-79% (-23)	
080%-82% (-20)	O 83%-86% (-18)	O 87%-89% (-15)	O 90%-92% (-13)
O 93%-94% (-10)	O 95%-96% (-8)	O 97%-98% (-5)	O 99% (-3)
O 100% (No deduct)			
Was the asphalt cement	content within specification	limits? (Quality) Instru	ction
O N/A	O <90% (-8)	○ 90	-99% (-4)
○ 100% (No deduct)			
Was the gradation of the limits? (Quality) Instru	combined aggregates, prio	or to mixing with asphalt ce	ement, within specification
O N/A	O <90% (-6)	O 90	-95% (-4)
O 96-99% (-2)	○100% (No	deduct)	
Section	on 500 - Rigid Pave	mente	
	n 500 - Rigid Pavel		
How many order records (Quality) Instruction	were written this construct		Quality specification violatio
	tion: -(6*n) 500 items meet the specific	cations when it arrived at t	he jobsite? (Quality)

Was the contractor persistent in a (Quality) Instruction Equation: -(2* Were contractor supplied material provided prior to the material bein N/A 100% (No deduct) \$ PCCP deductions this construction \$ Contract Value of PCCP this consolo Did QC/QA results compare favora N/A 0 100% (-20) 71	olled shrinkage cra olow (-13) -98% (-5) dding unauthorized representations and proper the components of the compone	furnished to the endecking took place? 90-94% (-10) 99% (-3) d moisture to finish / or correct and coo the work? (Con	(Quality) 0) The concentract Adm 90-9 ion	19% (-4) Instruction 100% (No decorate pavement surf	fuct) face?
N/A 100% (No deduct) Were joints sawed before uncontrol N/A 95-96% (-8) 97- Was the contractor persistent in an (Quality) Instruction Equation: -(2* Were contractor supplied material provided prior to the material bein N/A 100% (No deduct) PCCP deductions this construction Contract Value of PCCP this construction N/A 100% (No deduct) Contract Value of PCCP this construction N/A 61%-70% (-20) 91%-94% (-10)	olled shrinkage cra oliginal of the shrinkage cra oliginal o	90-94% (-10 99% (-3) d moisture to finish / or correct and co o the work? (Con ruction (Quality) Instruct instruction	(Quality) 0) The concentract Adm 90-9 ion	19% (-4) 100% (No december of the pavement surform Section Section Section Instru	fuct) face?
N/A PCCP deductions this construction Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A Contract Value Of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora	olled shrinkage cra 20% (-13) 2-98% (-5) dding unauthorized *n) certifications and particular into the control of the contro	90-94% (-10 99% (-3) d moisture to finish / or correct and co to the work? (Con ruction (Quality) Instruct Instruction 50%-60% (-10)	(Quality) 0) In the concomplete PAtract Adm 90-9) Instruction O 100% (No decertee pavement surformation) Instruction	ace?
N/A	onw (-13) (-98% (-5)) (dding unauthorized fin) (certifications and ing incorporated into certifications and into certifications are certifications and into certifications and into certifications are certifications.	90-94% (-10 99% (-3) d moisture to finish / or correct and co to the work? (Con ruction (Quality) Instruct Instruction 50%-60% (-10)	o) In the concomplete PA tract Adm 90-9	100% (No decorrecte pavement surf	ace?
Was the contractor persistent in a (Quality) Instruction Equation: -(2* Were contractor supplied material provided prior to the material bein N/A 100% (No deduct) PCCP deductions this construction Contract Value of PCCP this consider QC/QA results compare favoration of N/A 100% (-20) N/A 91%-94% (-10)	dding unauthorized (*n) certifications and , ng incorporated into <90% (-6) on season	99% (-3) d moisture to finish / or correct and co o the work? (Con ruction (Quality) Instruct Instruction	the concomplete PA tract Adm 90-9	crete pavement surf	ace?
Was the contractor persistent in an (Quality) Instruction Equation: -(2* Were contractor supplied material provided prior to the material being N/A 100% (No deduct) PCCP deductions this construction Contract Value of PCCP this consumption Olid QC/QA results compare favoration N/A 61%-70% (-20) 91%-94% (-10)	dding unauthorized (*n) I certifications and and incorporated into (-6) on season Instruction season (ably? (Quality) I (50% (-25) %-76% (-18)	d moisture to finish / or correct and co o the work? (Con ruction (Quality) Instruct instruction 50%-60% (omplete PA tract Adm 90-9	crete pavement surf	ace?
Quality) Instruction Equation: -(2* Were contractor supplied material provided prior to the material being pri	certifications and and incorporated into certifications and and incorporated into certification certification season and certification season instruction season (ably? (Quality) I 50% (-25) %-76% (-18)	/ or correct and co o the work? (Con ruction (Quality) Instruct instruction	omplete PA tract Adm 90-9	AL tags for Section ! ninistration) Instru	500 ite
N/A 100% (No deduct) PCCP deductions this construction Contract Value of PCCP this consolid QC/QA results compare favora N/A 61%-70% (-20) 91%-94% (-10)	on season Instruction season (Quality) I 50% (-25) %-76% (-18)	ruction (Quality) Instruct instruction 50%-60% (90-9 ion	ninistration) Instru	
Did QC/QA results compare favora N/A 61%-70% (-20) 91%-94% (-10)	on season Instruction season (ably? (Quality) I 50% (-25) %-76% (-18)	(Quality) Instruct	ion -23)	9% (-3)	
PCCP deductions this construction Contract Value of PCCP this consumption Oid QC/QA results compare favora N/A 61%-70% (-20) 91%-94% (-10) 956	struction season (ably? (Quality) I 50% (-25) %-76% (-18)	(Quality) Instruct	-23)		
Contract Value of PCCP this cons O Oid QC/QA results compare favora N/A 61%-70% (-20) 91%-94% (-10) 95	ably? (Quality) I 50% (-25) %-76% (-18)	50%-60% (-23)		
○ N/A	50% (-25) %-76% (-18)	O 50%-60% (•		
○ 61%-70% (-20) ○ 71° ○ 91%-94% (-10) ○ 95°	%-76% (-18)	•	•	_	
91%-94% (-10) 95°		O 77%-83% (·		_	
\sim	%-96% (-8)	_	-15)	O 84%-90% (-1	3)
O 100% (No deduct)		O 97%-98% (·	-5)	O 99% (-3)	
Were control charts maintained or	າ a daily basis? (C	Contract Compliand	ce) Instru	uction	
○ N/A	90% (-13)	O 90-94% (-10	0)		
O 95-96% (-8)	-98% (-5)	O 99% (-3)		O 100% (No dec	luct)
Section 600 - I Total Dollars of Section 600 Ite Total Dollars of Temporary Tra	Incidental Cons	struction This Rating Years		.99	
How many order records were wri (Quality) Instruction Equation: -(6*		ion season for Sect	tion 600 Ç	Quality specification	violati
How many order records were wri		trol? (Contract Co	ompliance	- Traffic Control)	Instruc
How many order records were write Environmental) Instruction Equation: -(3*)	·	grinding environm	ental viola	ations? (Contract	Compli
Was pavement edge treatment pro (Contract Compliance - Traffic Co			ccordance	with plans and spe	cification
○ N/A ○ 100% (No deduct)	O <90% (-10))	90-9	9% (-5)	
,		(Ovality) 7			
Pavement Marking paid this cons		,,			
<u>Contract Value</u> of Pavement Mar	king this construct	tion season. (Qua	lity) Inst	truction	

○ N/A	○<90% (-9)	(90-95% (-6)
O 96-99% (-3)	○100% (No o	deduct)	
Did the contractor prov Compliance - Traffic Co		nd properly attired fla	g persons when required? (Co
○ N/A	O <90% (-9)	(90-95% (-6)
O 96-99% (-3)	○ 100% (No o	deduct)	
necessity ceased to exist Equ	aside, turned, removed, or st? (Contract Compliance - ation: -(2*n) a 600 items meet the specific	Traffic Control) Instr	
○ N/A	O <90% (-10)	O 90-94% (-8)	
95-96% (-6)	97-98% (-4)	99% (-2)	O 100% (No deduct)
	at was the percentage of cont	· · ·	, ,
O _{N/A}	O <95% (-4)	(95-99% (-2)
O 100% (No deduct)			
	ide the necessary notification point errors and structure st		
○ N/A	O<90% (-3)	(90-95% (-2)
O 96-99% (-1)	○ 100% (No o	deduct)	
Were contractor supplied provided prior to the m	d material certifications and aterial being incorporated int	or correct and composite of the work? (Contra	plete PAL tags for Section 600 its ct Administration) Instruction
○ N/A	O<90% (-6)	(O 90-99% (-3)
\bigcirc 100% (No deduct)	1		
Was Section 600 mater	ial stored and handled in sucl	h a way to maintain i	ts quality? Quality) Instructio
○ N/A	O<90% (-10	<u> </u>	O 90-99% (-5)
0 100% (No deduct)		,	(-,
	ection 700 - Structur		3,645.26
(Quality) Instruction	s were written this construct	ion season for Section	n 700 Quality specification violat
<u> Invironmental</u> Instru		0 environmental viol	ations? (Contract Compliance -
	surfaces properly cured? (Cation: -(2*n)	Quality) Instruction	
	roperly aligned and tied in conspection? (Quality) Instruc		lans and specifications when the
○ N/A	O <90% (-6)	(90-95% (-4)
	○ 100% (No o	deduct)	
O 96-99% (-2)			
Was a detailed installat	ion plan for construction of di act Compliance) Instruction	rilled shafts submitted	d at least 30 days prior to

○ N/A	○ <90% (-6)		0-95% (-4)	
O 96-99% (-2)	○ 100% (No	deduct)		
Did concrete for Section Instruction	700 items meet the specifi	cations when it arrived at	the jobsite?	(Quality)
○ N/A	O<90% (-6)	O 9	0-95% (-4)	
O 96-99% (-2)	○ 100% (No	deduct)		
Instruction	stent in adding unauthorize tion: -(2*n)	ed moisture to finish the b	oridge deck su	ırface? (Quality
Did the contractor provice pridge, including painting	le adequate protection for pg, operations? (Contract Ction: -(2*n)		tructure) and	traffic during
	rete removal practices min lace? (Quality) (Quality)		reinforcing a	nd structural ste
○ N/A	O <90% (-9)	<u> </u>	0-95% (-6)	
O 96-99% (-3)	○100% (No		•	
Were drainage pipes and Instruction	structures constructed in a	accordance with the plans	and specifica	ations? (Quality
○ N/A	O <90% (-9)	O 9	0-95% (-6)	
O 96-99% (-3)	\bigcirc 100% (No	deduct)		
	l material certifications and terial being incorporated in			
○ N/A	O <90% (-6)		0-99 (-3)	
	- ,			
\bigcirc 100% (No deduct)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	al stored and handled in suc	ch a way to maintain its q	uality? (Qua	ality) Instruction
Was Section 700 materia				llity) Instruction
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	al stored and handled in suc		uality? (Qua	llity) Instruction
Was Section 700 materia				lity) Instruction
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct)))		lity) Instruction
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section	○ <90% (-10	elopment		llity) Instruction
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Total Dollars of Sectio How many order records	○ <90% (-10	elopment This Rating Years: 0	0-99% (-5)	
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Total Dollars of Sectio How many order records (Quality) Instruction	<pre>0 < 90% (-10 800 - Roadside Deve n 800 Items Completed</pre>	elopment This Rating Years: 0	0-99% (-5)	
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Total Dollars of Sectio How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equa How many order records	<pre>800 - Roadside Deve n 800 Items Completed were written this construct tion: -(6*n) were written this construct were written this construct were written this construct </pre>	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80	0-99% (-5)	ecification violatio
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Total Dollars of Sectio How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equa How many order records Compliance - Environme	<pre>800 - Roadside Deve n 800 Items Completed were written this construct tion: -(6*n) were written this construct were written this construct were written this construct </pre>	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80	0-99% (-5)	ecification violation
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Fotal Dollars of Sectio How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equa How many order records compliance - Environme Equa	800 - Roadside Development of the second structure of	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi	0-99% (-5)	ecification violations? (Contract
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Total Dollars of Sectio How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equa How many order records Compliance - Environme Equa Was seeding and/or sodo	800 - Roadside Development of the second structure of	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi	0-99% (-5)	ecification violations? (Contract
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Total Dollars of Sectio How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equa How many order records Compliance - Environme Equa Was seeding and/or sode Environmental) Instruction	800 - Roadside Development 100 - Roadside Develo	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi	0-99% (-5) O Quality speciency violation	ecification violations? (Contract
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Fotal Dollars of Section How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equally Equa	800 - Roadside Development 100 - Roadside Development 100 - Roadside Development 100 - Roadside Development 100 - (6*n) Were written this construction: -(6*n) Were written this construction tion: -(3*n) ding completed before the stion 90% (-15	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi slopes became eroded? (5) 9 deduct) on reports have no deficie	0-99% (-5) 0 Quality speciency violation (Contract Contract Con	ecification violations? (Contract appliance -
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Fotal Dollars of Section How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equally Equa	800 - Roadside Deve n 800 Items Completed were written this construction: -(6*n) were written this construction tion: -(3*n) ding completed before the stion <90% (-15 100% (No performed erosion inspection	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi slopes became eroded? (5) 9 deduct) on reports have no deficie	0-99% (-5) 0 Quality speciency violation (Contract Contract Con	ecification violations? (Contract appliance -
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Fotal Dollars of Section How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equally Equa	800 - Roadside Deve n 800 Items Completed were written this construction: -(6*n) were written this construction tion: -(3*n) ding completed before the stion <90% (-15 100% (No performed erosion inspection yerquirement? (Contraction	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi slopes became eroded? (5)	0-99% (-5) 00 Quality specificiency violation (Contract Conformation 0-95% (-10) ncies or have ental) Instruction	ecification violations? (Contract appliance -
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Total Dollars of Sectio How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equa How many order records Compliance - Environme Equa Was seeding and/or sode Environmental) Instruction N/A 96-99% (-5) What percentage of the proceded within the 7-december 100 material (Control of the proceded within the 7-december 100 material) N/A	800 - Roadside Develor Roadside	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi slopes became eroded? (5) 9 deduct) on reports have no deficie t Compliance - Environme	0-99% (-5) 00 Quality specificiency violation (Contract Conformation 0-95% (-10) ncies or have ental) Instruction	deficiencies
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Fotal Dollars of Section How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equally Equa	800 - Roadside Develor Roadside Roa	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi slopes became eroded? (6) 9 deduct) on reports have no deficie t Compliance - Environme 75%-79% (-23) 87%-89% (-15)	0-99% (-5) 0 Quality speciency violation (Contract Contract Cont	deficiencies
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Total Dollars of Section How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equally Equal (Quality) Instruction N/A 96-99% (-5) What percentage of the prorrected within the 7-day N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct)	800 - Roadside Develor Roadside Roa	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi slopes became eroded? (6) on reports have no deficie t Compliance - Environme 75%-79% (-23) 87%-89% (-15) 97%-98% (-5)	0-99% (-5) 00 Quality specificiency violation (Contract Contract	deficiencies ction 92% (-13) (-3)
Was Section 700 materia N/A 100% (No deduct) Section Total Dollars of Section How many order records (Quality) Instruction Equally Equal (Quality) Instruction N/A 96-99% (-5) What percentage of the prorrected within the 7-day N/A 80%-82% (-20) 93%-94% (-10) 100% (No deduct)	800 - Roadside Develor Roadside R	elopment This Rating Years: 0 tion season for Section 80 tion season for SWPP defi slopes became eroded? (5) 9 deduct) on reports have no deficie t Compliance - Environme 75%-79% (-23) 87%-89% (-15) 97%-98% (-5)	0-99% (-5) 00 Quality specificiency violation (Contract Contract	deficiencies ction 92% (-13) (-3)

low many order records were written this construction season for Section 900 Quality specifi (Quality) Instruction Equation: -(6*n) oid the contractor provide material that was listed on the approved list of equipment and material (Contract Administration) Instruction N/A	
Total Dollars of Section 900 Items Completed This Rating Years: Now many order records were written this construction season for Section 900 Quality specific (Quality) Instruction Equation: -(6*n) Indid the contractor provide material that was listed on the approved list of equipment and material (Contract Administration) Instruction N/A	
Equation: -(6*n) Did the contractor provide material that was listed on the approved list of equipment and mail (Contract Administration) Instruction N/A	
(Quality) Instruction Equation: -(6*n) Did the contractor provide material that was listed on the approved list of equipment and material (Contract Administration) Instruction N/A <90% (-6) 90-99 (-3) 100% (No deduct) Did the contractor provide the necessary certifications, manufacturers instructions, wiring dia	
N/A <pre><90% (-6)</pre> 90-99 (-3) 0100% (No deduct) 01000 the contractor provide the necessary certifications, manufacturers instructions, wiring dia	terials?
Did the contractor provide the necessary certifications, manufacturers instructions, wiring dia	
co, ac required by the specimentation. (continued random strategy)	grams, and
○ N/A ○ <90% (-6) ○ 90-99 (-3)	
O 100% (No deduct)	
Did concrete for Section 900 items meet the specifications when it arrived at the jobsite? (Questruction	<u>)</u> uality)
○ N/A ○ <90% (-10) ○ 90-94 (-8)	
○ 95-96 (-6) ○ 97-98 (-4) ○ 99% (-2) ○ 100% (!	No deduct)
Vas Section 900 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? (Quality	