

Contractor Performance Rating Report ( Annual )

Contractor:	<input style="width:100%;" type="text"/>		
Date this was Last Modified:	<input style="width:100%;" type="text"/>		
Year Being Rated:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>	Project office:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>
Contract:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>	Job No.:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>
Route:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>	County:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>
District:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>	Contract Letting Date:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>
Notice to Proceed:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>	Contract Completion Date:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>
Contractor Vendor No:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>	Federal Project Number:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>
Percent Complete:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>	Original Contract Amount:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>
Final Contract Amount:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>	Total Dollars Paid To Date:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>
Total Dollars of Mobilization Paid This Calendar Year:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>		
Total Dollars of Miscellaneous Payments Paid This Year:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>		
Total Dollars of Deductions Charges This Year:	<input style="width:150px;" type="text"/>		

**Section 100 - General Provisions**

1	How many order records were written this construction season for Section 100 contract document violation? (Contract Compliance) <a href="#">Instruction</a> <input style="width:100px;" type="text"/> Equation: $-(6*n)$
2	Did the contractor provide the engineer with letters of approval, from the appropriate regulating agencies , prior to commencing any blasting operations? (Contract Administration) <a href="#">Instruction</a>  <input type="radio"/> N/A <input type="radio"/> NO ( -2) <input type="radio"/> YES ( No deduct)
3	How many DNR Notices of Violation were issued this construction season for Clean Water Act violations? (Contract Compliance - Environment) <a href="#">Instruction</a> <input style="width:100px;" type="text"/> Equation: $-(3*n+3^n)$
4	Were the contractor's proposed primary sources of materials identified at or before the preconstruction conference? (Contract Administration) <a href="#">Instruction</a>  <input type="radio"/> N/A <input type="radio"/> NO ( -2) <input type="radio"/> YES ( No deduct)
5	Were paid invoices for material allowances received within the time limit specified in the contract? (Contract Administration) <a href="#">Instruction</a>  <input type="radio"/> N/A <input type="radio"/> <50% ( -2) <input type="radio"/> 50-99% ( -1) <input type="radio"/> 100% ( No deduct)
6	Did the contractor submit certified copies of payrolls within one week of the limit specified in the contract? (Contract Administration) <a href="#">Instruction</a>  <input type="radio"/> N/A <input type="radio"/> <90% ( -2) <input type="radio"/> 90-99% ( -1) <input type="radio"/> 100% ( No deduct)
7	Did employee wage interviews indicate that the contractor was in compliance with prevailing wage requirements? (Contract Compliance) <a href="#">Instruction</a>  <input style="width:100px;" type="text"/>



21 Did the contractor clearly outline the intended maintenance of traffic, work phasing provided by the contract and such other information as required by the contract? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

N/A  NO ( -2)  YES ( No deduct)

22 Did the contractor provide a final or an acceptable revised progress schedule within 7 days when required or requested? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

N/A  NO ( -2)  YES ( No deduct)

23 Was an authorized representative readily available throughout the life of the project? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

N/A  0-74% ( -4)  75-84% ( -3)  
 85-94% ( -2)  95-99% ( -1)  100% ( No deduct)

24 Did the contractor exercise caution around construction stakes installed by MoDOT? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90 ( -2)  90-99% ( -1)  
 100% ( No deduct)

25 Were the contractor's personnel readily available when called for non-working hour emergencies? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90 ( -4)  90-99% ( -2)  
 100% ( No deduct)

26 Did the contractor satisfactorily remove, maintain, and relocate signs and markers? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90 ( -2)  90-99% ( -1)  
 100% ( No deduct)

27 Did the contractor provide the effort to resolve substantiated complaints in a positive, prompt and professional manner? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90 ( -2)  90-99% ( -1)  
 100% ( No deduct)

28a What was the contract or adjusted completion date? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)  
System Answer: Your Answer:

28b What date was the Final Inspection made? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)  
System Answer: Your Answer:

29 If there were Disincentive/Milestone damages charged on the project enter the \$ Disincentive/Liquidated Damages for failing to meet contract milestone dates. (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)  
System Answer: Your Answer:

30 Did the contractor submit a Safety Plan in accordance with the contract? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

No ( -8)  Yes ( No deduct)

31 Did the contractor provide a list of personnel readily available, and responded if called, for non-working hour emergencies? (This information should be a part of the safety plan received from the contractor, including their sub-contractors) (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

No ( -4)  Yes ( No deduct)

32 Did the contractor (including all subcontractors) experience a worker fatality or fatalities on the project or later as a result of injury that happened on the project? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

No ( No deduct)  Yes - Serious ( -4)  Yes - Willful ( -6)  
 Yes - Repeated ( -8)

33 How many occurrences of non-conforming PPE's were sited? (Including all sub-contractors on-site work in this evaluation.) (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

<95% ( -4)                       95-99% ( -2)                       >99% ( 2)

34 Did the contractor (including all subcontractors) experience worker injuries on the project requiring emergency services or medical services? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

No ( No deduct)                       Yes - Serious ( -4)                       Yes - Willful ( -6)  
 Yes - Repeated ( -8)

35 Did the contractor follow the provisions of their Safety Plan? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No ( -5)     Yes ( No deduct)

36 Did the contractor have an active role in monitoring their subcontractor's work and addressing safety concerns of its sub-contractors? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No ( -5)     Yes ( No deduct)

37 Did the contractor utilize non-certified flagger/s? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No ( No deduct)     Yes ( -3)

38 Did the contractor (including subs) damage any utilities as a result of no locate services being requested? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No ( No deduct)     Yes ( -4)

39 Did the contractor perform flagging procedures in accordance with the MUTCD and the contract? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

<90% ( -4)                       90-99% ( -2)                       >99% ( 2)

40 Did the contractor utilize worker awareness, vehicle lane departure, smart technologies or other measures to protect workers? (Prosecution/Progress) [Instruction](#)

No ( No deduct)     Yes ( 3)

**Section 200 - Earthwork**

**Total Dollars of Section 200 Items Completed This Rating Years:**

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 200 contract Quality specification violation? (Quality) [Instruction](#)  
 Equation: -(6\*n)

2 Did the contractor give proper notice to the engineer and Missouri Department of Natural Resources prior to demolishing a building, removing asbestos, underground storage tank or plugging/closure of a well or septic tank? (Contract Compliance - Environment) [Instruction](#)

N/A     NO ( -2)     YES ( No deduct)

3 Did the contractor properly dispose of project waste and provide necessary documentation? (Contract Compliance - Environment) [Instruction](#)

N/A     NO ( -2)     YES ( No deduct)

4 Did the contractor's operations produce the required embankment/subgrade density? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A                       <50% ( -50)                       50%-60% ( -45)  
 61%-70% ( -40)                       71%-76% ( -35)                       77%-83% ( -30)                       84%-90% ( -25)  
 91%-94% ( -20)                       95%-96% ( -15)                       97%-98% ( -10)                       99% ( -5)  
 100% ( No deduct)

5 Did the contractor use proper lift thicknesses and benching procedures in the construction of embankments? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A                       <50% ( -30)                       50%-60% ( -27)  
 61%-70% ( -24)                       71%-76% ( -21)                       77%-83% ( -18)                       84%-90% ( -15)  
 91%-94% ( -12)                       95%-96% ( -9)                       97%-98% ( -6)                       99% ( -3)

100% ( No deduct)

6 Were grading operations conducted as to provide proper drainage of the subgrade during construction? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% ( -10)  90%-99% ( -5)  
 100% ( No deduct)

7 Did the contractor submit a complete blasting plan at least 14 days before rock- drilling operations began? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A  NO ( -2)  YES ( No deduct)

8 Did the contractor perform blasting operations in a manner to avoid damage to public or private property? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% ( -10)  90%-99% ( -5)  
 100% ( No deduct)

9 Did the contractor furnish copies of all blasting logs, and seismic monitoring data? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A  NO ( -2)  YES ( No deduct)

### Section 300 - Bases and Aggregate Surfaces

#### Total Dollars of Section 300 Items Completed This Rating Years:

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 300 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(6*n)$

2 Were the results of density tests on aggregate/ rock /stabilized permeable bases within specification limits? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <50% ( -50)  50%-60% ( -45)  
 61%-70% ( -40)  71%-76% ( -35)  77%-83% ( -30)  84%-90% ( -25)  
 91%-94% ( -20)  95%-96% ( -15)  97%-98% ( -10)  99% ( -5)  
 100% ( No deduct)

3 Did the 2" capping material for rock base comply with the specifications? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <75% ( -25)  75%-79% ( -23)  
 80%-82% ( -20)  83%-86% ( -18)  87%-89% ( -15)  90%-92% ( -13)  
 93%-94% ( -10)  95%-96% ( -8)  97%-98% ( -5)  99% ( -3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

4 Were the final grades of the rock/aggregate base in conformance with the specifications? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <75% ( -15)  75%-79% ( -14)  
 80%-82% ( -12)  83%-86% ( -11)  87%-89% ( -9)  90%-92% ( -8)  
 93%-94% ( -6)  95%-96% ( -5)  97%-98% ( -3)  99% ( -2)  
 100% ( No deduct)

5 Did QC/QA results compare favorably? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <50% ( -25)  50%-60% ( -23)  
 61%-70% ( -20)  71%-76% ( -18)  77%-83% ( -15)  84%-90% ( -13)  
 91%-94% ( -10)  95%-96% ( -8)  97%-98% ( -5)  99% ( -3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

6 Was Section 300 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% ( -10)  90%-99% ( -5)

100% ( No deduct)

### Section 400 - Flexible Pavements

#### Total Dollars of Section 400 Items Completed This Rating Years:

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 400 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(6*n)$

2 Were samples of compacted bituminous mixtures delivered to the engineer in accordance with the specifications or as agreed to by the engineer? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% (-6)  90-99% (-3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

3 Were acceptable QC inertial profiler files furnished to the engineer in accordance with Section 610 specifications? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% (-8)  90-99% (-4)  
 100% ( No deduct)

4 Were contractor supplied material certifications for Section 400 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% (-6)  90-99% (-3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

5 Did QC/QA results compare favorably? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <50% (-25)  50%-60% (-23)  
 61%-70% (-20)  71%-76% (-18)  77%-83% (-15)  84%-90% (-13)  
 91%-94% (-10)  95%-96% (-8)  97%-98% (-5)  99% (-3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

6a \$ All Asphalt deductions this construction season [Instruction](#)

6b \$ Contract Value of all asphalt this construction season (Quality) [Instruction](#)

7 What percentage of density / compaction tests met specification requirements? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <75% (-25)  75%-79% (-23)  
 80%-82% (-20)  83%-86% (-18)  87%-89% (-15)  90%-92% (-13)  
 93%-94% (-10)  95%-96% (-8)  97%-98% (-5)  99% (-3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

8 Was the asphalt cement content within specification limits? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% (-8)  90-99% (-4)  
 100% ( No deduct)

9 Was the gradation of the combined aggregates, prior to mixing with asphalt cement, within specification limits? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% (-6)  90-95% (-4)  
 96-99% (-2)  100% ( No deduct)

### Section 500 - Rigid Pavements

#### Total Dollars of Section 500 Items Completed This Rating Years:

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 500 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(6*n)$

2 Did concrete for Section 500 items meet the specifications when it arrived at the jobsite? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% ( -9)	<input type="radio"/> 90-95% ( -6)
<input type="radio"/> 96-99% ( -3)	<input type="radio"/> 100% ( No deduct)	

3 Were acceptable acceptable QC inertial profiler files furnished to the engineer in accordance with Section 610 specifications? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% ( -8)	<input type="radio"/> 90-99% ( -4)
<input type="radio"/> 100% ( No deduct)		

4 Were joints sawed before uncontrolled shrinkage cracking took place? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% ( -13)	<input type="radio"/> 90-94% ( -10)	
<input type="radio"/> 95-96% ( -8)	<input type="radio"/> 97-98% ( -5)	<input type="radio"/> 99% ( -3)	<input type="radio"/> 100% ( No deduct)

5 Was the contractor persistent in adding unauthorized moisture to finish the concrete pavement surface? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(2*n)$

6 Were contractor supplied material certifications and / or correct and complete PAL tags for Section 500 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% ( -6)	<input type="radio"/> 90-99% ( -3)
<input type="radio"/> 100% ( No deduct)		

7a \$ PCCP deductions this construction season [Instruction](#)

7b \$ Contract Value of PCCP this construction season (Quality) [Instruction](#)

8 Did QC/QA results compare favorably? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <50% ( -25)	<input type="radio"/> 50%-60% ( -23)		
<input type="radio"/> 61%-70% ( -20)	<input type="radio"/> 71%-76% ( -18)	<input type="radio"/> 77%-83% ( -15)	<input type="radio"/> 84%-90% ( -13)	
<input type="radio"/> 91%-94% ( -10)	<input type="radio"/> 95%-96% ( -8)	<input type="radio"/> 97%-98% ( -5)	<input type="radio"/> 99% ( -3)	
<input type="radio"/> 100% ( No deduct)				

9 Were control charts maintained on a daily basis? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% ( -13)	<input type="radio"/> 90-94% ( -10)	
<input type="radio"/> 95-96% ( -8)	<input type="radio"/> 97-98% ( -5)	<input type="radio"/> 99% ( -3)	<input type="radio"/> 100% ( No deduct)

**Section 600 - Incidental Construction**

**Total Dollars of Section 600 Items Completed This Rating Years:**

**Total Dollars of Temporary Traffic Control Items Completed This Rating year:**

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 600 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(6*n)$

2 How many order records were written for traffic control? (Contract Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(3*n)$

3 How many order records were written for pavement grinding environmental violations? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(3*n)$

4 Was pavement edge treatment properly provided and constructed in accordance with plans and specifications? (Contract Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

<input type="radio"/> N/A	<input type="radio"/> <90% ( -10)	<input type="radio"/> 90-99% ( -5)
<input type="radio"/> 100% ( No deduct)		

5a \$ Pavement Marking paid this construction season. (Quality) [Instruction](#)

5b \$ Contract Value of Pavement Marking this construction season. (Quality) [Instruction](#)

6 Were traffic control devices installed and maintained in accordance with plans and specifications? (Contract

Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

- N/A  <90% ( -9)  90-95% ( -6)  
 96-99% ( -3)  100% ( No deduct)

7 Did the contractor provide well trained, equipped, and properly attired flag persons when required? (Contract Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

- N/A  <90% ( -9)  90-95% ( -6)  
 96-99% ( -3)  100% ( No deduct)

8 Were signs covered, set aside, turned, removed, or relocated as work progressed or was completed and their necessity ceased to exist? (Contract Compliance - Traffic Control) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(2*n)$

9 Did concrete for Section 600 items meet the specifications when it arrived at the jobsite? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A  <90% ( -10)  90-94% ( -8)  
 95-96% ( -6)  97-98% ( -4)  99% ( -2)  100% ( No deduct)

10 Quality Assurance: What was the percentage of contractor staking checked acceptable? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A  <95% ( -4)  95-99% ( -2)  
 100% ( No deduct)

11 Did the contractor provide the necessary notification and documentation required for design errors, benchmark and control point errors and structure staking? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

- N/A  <90% ( -3)  90-95% ( -2)  
 96-99% ( -1)  100% ( No deduct)

12 Were contractor supplied material certifications and / or correct and complete PAL tags for Section 600 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

- N/A  <90% ( -6)  90-99% ( -3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

13 Was Section 600 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A  <90% ( -10)  90-99% ( -5)  
 100% ( No deduct)

## Section 700 - Structures

### Total Dollars of Section 700 Items Completed This Rating Years:

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 700 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(6*n)$

2 How many order records were written for Section 700 environmental violations? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(3*n)$

3 Were exposed concrete surfaces properly cured? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(2*n)$

4 Was reinforcing steel properly aligned and tied in conformance with the plans and specifications when the contractor requested inspection? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A  <90% ( -6)  90-95% ( -4)  
 96-99% ( -2)  100% ( No deduct)

5 Was a detailed installation plan for construction of drilled shafts submitted at least 30 days prior to construction? ((Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

- N/A  No ( -5)  Yes ( No deduct)

6 Were forms properly aligned and graded when the contractor requested inspection? (Quality) [Instruction](#)



- N/A                       <90% ( -6)                       90-95% ( -4)  
 96-99% ( -2)                       100% ( No deduct)

7 Did concrete for Section 700 items meet the specifications when it arrived at the jobsite? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A                       <90% ( -6)                       90-95% ( -4)  
 96-99% ( -2)                       100% ( No deduct)

8 Was the contractor persistent in adding unauthorized moisture to finish the bridge deck surface? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(2*n)$

9 Did the contractor provide adequate protection for property (to include the structure) and traffic during bridge, including painting, operations? (Contract Compliance) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(2*n)$

10 Did the contractor's concrete removal practices minimize damage to existing reinforcing and structural steel that was to be used in place? (Quality) (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A                       <90% ( -9)                       90-95% ( -6)  
 96-99% ( -3)                       100% ( No deduct)

11 Were drainage pipes and structures constructed in accordance with the plans and specifications? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A                       <90% ( -9)                       90-95% ( -6)  
 96-99% ( -3)                       100% ( No deduct)

12 Were contractor supplied material certifications and / or correct and complete PAL tags for Section 700 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

- N/A                       <90% ( -6)                       90-99 ( -3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

13 Was Section 700 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A                       <90% ( -10)                       90-99% ( -5)  
 100% ( No deduct)

### Section 800 - Roadside Development

#### Total Dollars of Section 800 Items Completed This Rating Years:

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 800 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(6*n)$

2 How many order records were written this construction season for SWPP deficiency violations? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(3*n)$

3 Was seeding and/or sodding completed before the slopes became eroded? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

- N/A                       <90% ( -15)                       90-95% ( -10)  
 96-99% ( -5)                       100% ( No deduct)

4 What percentage of the performed erosion inspection reports have no deficiencies or have deficiencies corrected within the 7-day requirement? (Contract Compliance - Environmental) [Instruction](#)

- N/A                       <75% ( -25)                       75%-79% ( -23)  
 80%-82% ( -20)                       83%-86% ( -18)                       87%-89% ( -15)                       90%-92% ( -13)  
 93%-94% ( -10)                       95%-96% ( -8)                       97%-98% ( -5)                       99% ( -3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

5 Was Section 800 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

- N/A                       <90% ( -10)                       90-99 ( -5)

100% ( No deduct)

6 Were contractor supplied material certifications and / or correct and complete PAL tags for Section 800 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% ( -6)  90-99 ( -3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

### Section 900 - Traffic Control Facilities

#### Total Dollars of Section 900 Items Completed This Rating Years:

1 How many order records were written this construction season for Section 900 Quality specification violations? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

Equation:  $-(6*n)$

2 Did the contractor provide material that was listed on the approved list of equipment and materials? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% ( -6)  90-99 ( -3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

3 Did the contractor provide the necessary certifications, manufacturers instructions, wiring diagrams, and parts lists, as required by the specifications? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% ( -6)  90-99 ( -3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

4 Did concrete for Section 900 items meet the specifications when it arrived at the jobsite? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% ( -10)  90-94 ( -8)  
 95-96 ( -6)  97-98 ( -4)  99% ( -2)  100% ( No deduct)

5 Was Section 900 material stored and handled in such a way to maintain its quality? (Quality) [Instruction](#)

N/A  <90% ( -6)  90-99 ( -3)  
 100% ( No deduct)

6 Were contractor supplied material certifications and / or correct and complete PAL tags for Section 800 items provided prior to the material being incorporated into the work? (Contract Administration) [Instruction](#)

N/A <90% ( -6) 90-99 ( -3) 100% ( No deduct)